PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PECSIVED

AUG 0 9 2005



From the INTERNAL BUREAU

To:

LICATA, Jane, Massey Licata & Tyrell P.C. 66 E. Main Street Marlton, NJ 08053 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
04 August 2005 (04.08.2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference WSTR-0017C

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2004/000899

International filing date (day/month/year)
14 January 2004 (14.01.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)
16 January 2003 (16.01.2003)

Applicant

THE WISTAR INSTITUTE et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Philippe Becamel

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 90

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference WSTR-0017C	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/000899	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 January 2004 (14.01.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 January 2003 (16.01.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC 7 A61K 39/145, 39/38, 39/12, 39/385, 39/285, C07K 17/00, 16/00			
Applicant THE WISTAR INSTITUTE			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a referer to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the report				
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a referer to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Certain defects in the international application	1.			
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 b not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 b not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior	3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 benot, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		Box No. I	Basis of the report	
applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 benot, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		Box No. II	Priority	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 benot, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		Box No. III		
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 benot, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 benot, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		Box No. V		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 b not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prior		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
 The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 b not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prio 		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the prio		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
	4.	not, except where the applicant		

	Date of issuance of this report 22 July 2005 (22.07.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Philippe Becamel
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 90

ATENT COOPERATION TREATY			
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY		
To: JANE MASSEY LICATA	IORITI		PCT
LICATA & TYRRELL PC 66E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis. 1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21 JAN 2005 ACTION 2005 See paragraph 2 below
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below
WSTR-0017C			
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US04/00899	14 January 2004 (14.01.	.2004)	16 January 2003 (16.01.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC)			
IPC(7): A61K 39/145, 39/38, 39/12, 39/196.11, 201.1; 530/350, 388.3	/385, 39/285; C07K 17/00	0, 16/00 and US Cl.:	424/206.1, 210.1, 184.1, 186.1, 194.1,
Applicant			
THE WISTAR INSTITUTE			
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following iten	ns:	
Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion		
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
j 	ity of invention		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited		
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international ap	plication	
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
2. FURTHER ACTION			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Forn	m PCT/ISA/220.		

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Authorized officer Michael M. McGaw

Telephone No. 571-272-1600

WRITTEN ANION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Internation No.
	PCT/US04/00899
_	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With 1	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which	
it was	filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2. With claime	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the dinvention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a.	type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b.	format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
c.	time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
	Turmished subsequently to this Additionty for the purposes of search.	
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
. Additio	onal comments:	
•	·	
	į daras ir d	
	·	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Internationa lication No. PCT/US04/00899

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

applicability; citations and expla	lanations supporting such statement	
Statement Novelty (N)	Claims NONE Claims 1-4, 6	YES NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-15	YES NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-15 Claims NONE	YES NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4 and 6 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Kragol, G. et al. (2001) Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 11:1417-1420.

Kragol et al. teach a multiple antigenic agent as claimed in claim 1. See particularly "S cheme 1" on page 1418. The multiple antigenic agent taught by Kragol included B cell and T-cell determinants from influenza A virus. See page 1814, col. 1. The B cell determinant was from the ectodomain of the M2 protein. The T cell determinants were the S1 and S2 T-helper cell epitopes from the hemagglutinin protein.

Claims 5 and 7-15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kragol, G. et al. (2001) Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 11:1417-1420 in view of Nierynck, S. et al. (1999).

Kragol, G. et al. (2001) is as described above. Nierynck, S. et al. (1999) teach a universal influenza A vaccine based on the extracellular domain of the M2 protein. Nierynck used the ectodomain of the M2 protein fused to the N-terminal portion of the hepatitis B virus core protein as a vaccine against influenza. The vaccine was administered to mice with Ribi adjuvant. See page 1162, col. 2. The vaccine protected mice from lethal challenge using both heterologous and homologous influenza A. See table 3, page 1160.